Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/03/24 : CIA-RDP87M01152R001101410004-5

Central Intelligence Agency



Mushington, D. C. 20505

OLL 85-3734/1

Mr. James M. Frey
Assistant Director for Legislative
Reference
Office of Management and Budget
Washington, D.C. 20503

Dear Mr. Erey:

Enclosed please find a copy of a letter from this Office to Chairman Hawkins of the House Committee on Education and Labor. The letter is in response to a request from the Chairman for the Agency's views on an amendment to H.R. 1524, the "Employee Polygraph Protection Act of 1985", which would clarify the exemption in that bill for employees of Agency contractors. I am also enclosing a copy of the amendment and request for views from Chairman Hawkins.

We ask that you advise us as to whether or not this letter is consistent with the Administration's program.

It is possible that this legislation may see floor action in the House within the next few days and Chairman Hawkins' staff has indicated that he would appreciate receipt of the Agency's views as soon as possible. We therefore would appreciate receiving your advice by Monday, 9 December 1985 at the latest.

Your cooperation is most appreciated.

Sincerely,

STAT

Charles A. Daiggs
Director, Office of Legislative Liaison

Enclosures

Distribution:
Original - Addressee (w/encl)

1 - D/OLL "

1 - DD/OLL "

1 - OLL Chrono

1 - Leg/Sub - Polygraph

1 - PS Signer

LEG/OLL (6 Dec)

STAT

Central Intelligence Agency



DRAFT

The Honorable Augustus F. Hawkins Chairman
Committee on Education and Labor
House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

I am pleased to respond on behalf of the Central Intelligence Agency to your letter of December 4, 1985 concerning H.R. 1524.

The Agency's concern with this legislation has been the sufficiency of the exemption for employees of Agency contractors. We have reviewed the "Amendment in the Nature of a Substitute to Section 6 (b) in H.R. 1524" which was enclosed with your letter. Assuming that this amendment were to be adopted as part of the bill as reported out by the Committee on Education and Labor, the Agency's concern would be alleviated and the Agency would have no objection to this legislation.

The Office of Management and Budget has advised that there is no objection to the submission of this report.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this legislation.

Sincerely,

Charles A. Briggs Director, Office of Legislative Liaison Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/03/24: CIA-RDP87M01152R001101410004-5

IS F. MANKINS, CALIFORNIA, IR

D. PORD, INCHIGAN

I. GAYDOS, PENNSYLVANIA

II. GAYDOS, PENNSYLVANIA

III. GLAY, INISSOURI

III. GLAY, CALIFORNIA

III. GLAY, CALIFORNIA

III. GLAY, CALIFORNIA

III. GLAY, CALIFORNIA

III. GLAY, GAIFORNIA

III. GAIFORNIA

III. GLAY, GAIFORNIA

III. GAIFORNIA

DITTY MEMBERS



COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND LABOR

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

2181 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515

December 4, 1985

JAMES M. JETTORDS, VERMONT
WILLIAM F. GOGGLING, FRINGTVIVANDA
E. THOMAS COLEMAN, MESOURI
THOMAS E. PETRI, WISCONSIN
MARGE ROLICIMA, NEW JENESY
STEVE GUNCHAA, NEW JENESY
STEVE BATTLETT, TEXAS
ROD CHANDLET, WASHINGTON
THOMAS J. TALKE, IOWA
JOHN R. MICKERDAN, Ja., MANIE
RICHARD E. AMMEY, TEXAS
HARRIS W. FAWELL, ILLINOIS

TELEPHONES

MAJORITY-(202) 225-4527 BBNORITY-(202) 225-3725

STAT

Office of Legislative Liaison Central Intelligence Agency Washington, D.C. 20505

STAT

Dear

In response to your discussions with Mr. Williams' office, it is my understanding that an amendment has been negotiated that is acceptable to the Central Intelligence Agency that will be offered when the bill goes to the House floor.

I am enclosing a copy of the amendment which would be offered in the nature of a substitute for section 6(b) in the reported bill.

I would welcome a letter confirming the Central Intelligence Agency's support for this amendment and its support for the bill assuming the amendment is adopted. Mr. Williams assures me that the ranking minority member has no objection to this amendment and that it is acceptable to the Armed Services Committee.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Augustus 4. Nawkins

Chairman

AFH: bb

AMENDMENT IN THE NATURE OF A SUBSTITUTE TO SECTION 6(b) IN H.R. 1524

) National Defense and Security Exemption

- (1) Nothing in this Act shall be construed to billion in the performance of any billion in the performance of any sunterintelligence function, of any lie detector test an expert or consultant under contract to the DOD or an employee of a contractor of the DOD.
 - (2) Nothing in this Act shall be construed to months the administration, in the performance of any months are constructed any lie months that the construction is a section of the construction of the constru
 - (a) to (i) an individual employed by, or assigned or detailed to, the National Security Agency or the Central Intelligence Agency, (ii) an expert or consultant under contract to the National Security Agency or the Central Intelligence Agency, (iii) an employee of a contractor of the National Security Agency or the Central Intelligence Agency, or (iv) an individual applying for a position in the National Security Agency or the Central Intelligence Agency; or
 - (b) to an individual assigned to a space where sensitive cryptographic information is produced, processed, or stored for the Central Intelligence Agency or the National Security Agency.